

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,456	10/16/2000	Craig L. Ogg	39778/RRT/S850	1637
23363	7590 09/23/2005	EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			BACKER, FIRMIN	
PASADENA,	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/688,456	OGG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		FIRMN BACKER	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
11⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10) July 2005				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application	on				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	•	t/or election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
· · · ·	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
44)[7]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 08) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

0

Application/Control Number: 09/688,456

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-71 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lewis et al (U.S Patent No. 6,223,565).
- 4. As per claim 1, Lewis et al teach a cryptographic system for securing data on a computer network comprising a plurality of users coupled to the computer network and a plurality of cryptographic devices, each of the plurality of cryptographic devices remote from the plurality of users, and each of the plurality of cryptographic devices comprising a processor programmed to authenticate a the plurality of remote users on the computer network for secure processing of a value bearing item (VBI) a memory for storing security device transaction data for ensuring

Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

authenticity of a user, wherein the security device transaction data is related the one of the plurality of users a cryptographic engine for cryptographically protecting data an interface for communicating with the computer network, and a module for processing value for the value bearing item, wherein each of the plurality of cryptographic devices is capable of authenticating any of the plurality of remote users, wherein each of the plurality of cryptographic devices is capable of processing a VBI printing request from any of the plurality of remote users, and wherein each of the plurality of cryptographic devices is capable of generating indicia data for transmitting to any of the plurality of remote users (see figs 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4A, 4B and their accompany text, column 6 lines 48-11 line 62).

- 5. As per claims 2-40, Lewis et al teach a cryptographic system for securing data on a computer network that encompass al the limitation disclose claims and are related to the independent cliam 1. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 6. As per claim 41, Lewis et al teach et al method for securing data on a computer network including a plurality of users and a plurality of cryptographic devices remote from the plurality of users comprising authenticating any one of the plurality of remote users by any one of the plurality of cryptographic devices, authorizing any one of the plurality of remote users for secure processing of a value bearing item by any one of a the plurality of cryptographic devices processing value for the value bearing item by the any one of the plurality of cryptographic devices; and storing a security device transaction data in a memory for ensuring authenticity and authority of one of the plurality users, wherein the security device transaction data is processed

Application/Control Number: 09/688,456

Art Unit: 3621

by any one of the plurality of cryptographic devices (see figs 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4A, 4B and their accompany text, column 6 lines 48-11 line 62).

7. As per claims 42-70, Lewis et al teach a cryptographic system for securing data on a computer network that encompass al the limitation disclose claims and are related to the independent claim 41. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form 892).
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FIRMN BACKER whose telephone number is 571-272-6703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FIRMN BACKER
Primary Examiner

September 16, 2005